

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Ans.

Pat int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/435.154	11/08/99	YAMAZAKI		s	SEL142
-		MMC1/1004			EXAMINER
COOK MCFARRON & MANZO LTD			•	LOKE.	S
200 WEST ADAMS STREET			·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE2850 CHICAGO IL	60606			2811	
				DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

10/04/00

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No. 09/435,154

Loke

Applicant(s)

Yamazaki et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2811

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	et to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever ure to respond within the period for response will cause the ensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
☑ Claim(s) 1	
☐ Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	,
🛮 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	pjected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	ır.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	es of the priority documents have been
🛛 received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	Number)
\square received in this national stage application from	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	er No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	2.040
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTC 153	J-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE DESICE ACTION A	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES
JEE UFFILE ALIIUN L	JIV TILL FULLUTIING FAGES ***

Application/Control Number: 09/435154 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Fig. 28 discloses the first impurity region [303] formed under the second conductive layer [315] and the third impurity layer [308, 310] formed under the second conductive layer [318]. The specification never discloses the first impurity region of the n-channel TFT overlaps with the second conductive layer and the third impurity region of the p-channel TFT overlaps the second conductive layer as claimed in claim 1.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 insofar, as in compliance with 35 USC 112, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goser.

Application/Control Number: 09/435154 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

Goser discloses a CMOS circuit in fig. 6. It comprises: an n-channel TFT having a channel region [2] and a first p-type region [521] contacts with the channel region; a p-type region [53] contacts with the region [521]; the p-type region [521] is completely under the gate electrode [5]; a p-channel TFT having a channel region [2] and an n-type region [44] contacts with the channel region [2]; the n-type region [44] is partially under the gate electrode [4].

It would have been obvious to have the second conductive layer formed in the n-channel TFT and the p-channel TFT because it is used to form the LDD regions of the TFTs.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (703) 308-4920.

sl

Steven Loke

PRIMARY EXAMATER
GROUP SECO

October 1, 2000